# Examples of how to apply a Gender Equality and Social Inclusion (GESI) Analysis

#### Introduction:

A GESI Analysis can help you understand and identify barriers and inequalities an individual or group may experience as a result of their social identity(s) within a specific context. It is an important tool as it can be used to inform your understanding of your project's context. It can also challenge any existing assumptions or biases your project team may have.

A GESI Analysis will help evidence the steps projects should already be taking to meet the Biodiversity Challenge Funds' minimum GESI-Sensitive standard.

When conducting a GESI Analysis the following six principles should be considered:

- 1. **Rights**: Legal and customary
- Practice: Attitudes, customs, and beliefs
   Environment: Stressors and vulnerability
- 4. Roles and Responsibilities: Division of time, space, and labour
- 5. **Representation**: Participation, inclusion, and power
- 6. **Resources**: Access and control of assets and services

Please see the GESI Analysis How to Guide for further details on each of these principles. This can be found on the GESI page under Resources on each fund's website.

This companion document provides two examples of how to apply the six principles of a GESI Analysis. These examples are hypothetical project examples.

## Example 1:

Project A is working with two rural communities in a country with a strongly patriarchal society. The project is supporting farmers to develop biodiversity-sustaining agroforestry plots with a particular focus on empowering women through dedicated training sessions.

Principle	Summary of findings	Action taken following analysis
Rights	Through a desk-based review of current	To ensure the training sessions are
	legal systems and procedures, it is	successful, the project team have
	noted that land rights are limited to	engaged with male relatives of the
	men within this context. As a result,	women attending the training
	engagement with the women on land	session. This is to ensure those
	use issues in the two communities is	making the decisions on the farming
	restricted through their male relations	techniques used are also aware of
	and those with decision-making power.	the information being shared to
		ensure sustainability of their uptake.
Practice	Having spoken with the local	No action required regarding
	communities it is clear that women are	farming techniques. The project will
	excluded from spaces where decisions	seek to empower women through
	are made. From specific discussions	women-only workshops in addition
	with men in the communities, it is clear	to workshops with all household
	there is a general belief among men	members to support a societal shift
	that women would not be capable of	in promoting gender equality.
	making decisions if they were involved	
	in these spaces, and that their role is	

Principle	Summary of findings	Action taken following analysis
	simply to attend to household chores. The project have reviewed the appropriateness of the farming techniques proposed and no barriers are presented in terms of existing practice.	
Environment	The two rural communities are situated in an area which is experiencing increasing frequency and severity of droughts. In the communities, women and girls are responsible for collecting water. During drought periods, they are having to walk further to collect water for their families and communities. This is often putting them in vulnerable positions with acts of violence being reported. Additionally, this water shortage is further having impacts on farming productivity Having spoken with the local communities, it is understood the lack of food production is causing men to act out violently with reports of domestic violence against women.	The project will focus on farming techniques which reduce water consumption thereby reducing the pressure on women and girls having to collect more water. The project will also work with a GESI Advisor who specialises in domestic violence to deliver specific awareness training during household workshops.
Roles and Responsibilities	The project held two workshops – one with local women and the other with local men – to ensure women felt comfortable to share their experiences and to speak out. The aim of the workshops was to understand the various roles and responsibilities of different social identity groups in both communities. From these discussions it is clear that agroforestry is seen as a "man's activity". While women are involved in subsistence agriculture, men largely control the income from these activities.  Related to findings from consideration of the environment principle, it is noted the proposed project activities would place an additional burden on women responsible for accessing water resources and other farming responsibilities. It was further clear from discussions that persons with disabilities were seen to be unable to participate in agroforestry activities	Following the workshops, the project will seek to address gender equality and social inclusion biases and monitor behaviour shifts throughout the lifetime of the project.  The project will also prioritise farming techniques which reduce water consumption(as outlined under the principle of "Environment"), and those which are less labour intensive which suit diverse abilities.

Principle	Summary of findings	Action taken following analysis
Principle	when in fact agroforestry techniques provides opportunities for people with diverse disabilities.	Action taken following analysis
Representation	It is clear from engagement with local communities that women and marginalised groups are excluded from community-level decision-making	As noted under "Roles and Responsibilities", the importance of running separate workshops for women and men is noted to ensure
Posouveos	bodies.	participants feel comfortable to share insights.
Resources	A desk-based assessment identifies that due to women not having access to land rights, their access and control of natural resources, income, and educational opportunities are limited.	The project's focus on women-only workshops seeks to empower women, providing them with learning opportunities. The longer-term benefits relate to societal behaviour change and the aim of women having unrestricted access to natural resources and income.

## **Example 2:**

Project B is conducting research to understand the trends of marine biodiversity. The data collected will be used to inform a review and ongoing management of a Marine Protected Area (MPA) surrounding a remote uninhabited island. The MPA is only used by international fishing vessels from other countries. The project therefore has no planned engagement with local stakeholders.

As the project is not engaging with local communities, it is particularly important to focus on those working on the project.

Principle	Summary of findings	Action taken following analysis
Rights	Though uninhabited, the island is still governed by a legal framework which includes gender equality and social inclusion considerations. It is noted this framework is perhaps less meaningful in the context of this project as there is no resident population on the island.	The project is familiar with the legal frameworks but no specific action is required due to there being no local community.
Practice	It is noted the research team is predominantly comprised of senior researchers with three junior staff members. The project has recognised the power dynamics within this team and the potential for junior staff members to feel like they cannot participate or share ideas.	Junior researchers are actively encouraged to present at fortnightly team meetings and to contribute to research decisions to ensure their voices are being heard. This is supported and enabled through an on-team mentorship.
Environment	The MPA forms part of a wider strategy to ensure sustainable fisheries and reduce the impact on marine habitats non-target species. The project is working with local government to support the review of this strategy and understand the environmental impacts through the research conducted. As the island is uninhabited, the environmental impacts on people are indirect – impacting those who rely on the fishing industry for livelihoods and as a food source. From a desk-based review it is noted that the environmental stressors are likely to create further inequalities for those already marginalised. The long-term climate benefits for better management of the MPA is also noted.	This close relationship with local government will support the integration of the data collected being embedded within the wider review of the MPA.  No specific action required regarding the environmental impacts due to these impacts being indirect.
Roles and Responsibilities	It is noted the field of marine biology and research has historically been a male-dominated field with a significant gap persisting in leadership and senior roles. Due to the nature of the research, the project team will be on board a research vessel for two months at a time. The Senior Researcher has a one year old child and is therefore unable to travel for this amount of time.	The hiring team considered ways to address some of these historical inequalities through the hiring process and created a mentorship opportunity to support career development for junior staff members – three staff members were hired (two women and one man). Previous risk assessments are reviewed to ensure appropriate risks have been considered regarding the staff being on board a research for vessel for two months. Within this review, it was noted that sexual exploitation, abuse and harassment risks have not been considered.

Principle	Summary of findings	Action taken following analysis
		Additional mitigations to prevent
		sexual assault and/ or sexual
		harassment on the research vessel
		are put in place to address this.
		The Senior Researcher manages
		coordination of data collection and
		analysis remotely, while also
		providing mentoring support to the
		three junior members and other
		research staff.
Representation	One of the project staff members	For the project team's regular online
	(female) has a hearing impairment. The	meetings, the project team use an
	acknowledgement of the power	online software which supports
	dynamics within the team and the field	automated and manual captioning
	of marine biology and research has	and the ability to adjust caption size.
	historically been a male-dominated, is	All team members are encouraged
	also noted here.	to use the reaction features, capture
		comments in the chat box and the
		raise hand feature to ensure only
		one person is talking a time. These
		best practices help foster more
		representation from all members of
		the team during regular meetings.
Resources	As the project is not engaging with a	For the three junior members, the
	local community, the analysis solely	organisation ensure they have the
	focuses on resources required by	resources required to complete their
	project staff. Staff members are asked	task and feel empowered to do so.
	about access to resources and provided	For the member of staff with a
	the tools they require to complete their	hearing impairment, the organisation
	job.	have provided assistive listening
		devices.

#### **Key messages:**

- There is not a one-size fits all approach to GESI. Projects and applicants should focus on the specific context they are working within.
- Projects and applicants should use the results taken from a GESI Analysis to inform appropriate targets i.e. a target of 50% men and 50% women may not be appropriate for the context.
- When conducting a GESI Analysis, projects and applicants should acknowledge the ways in which various social identities such as ethnicity, age, class, gender and disability interact to inform how an individual or group are affected or impacted by the various principles.
- Every project should consider GESI within their project team. However, if your project works with local communities and/or stakeholders, your GESI Analysis should primarily focus on the context the project is working within.
- If your project is not directly working with local communities or stakeholders, you should apply the six principles to those working on the project i.e. the project team.
- Dependent on the context, some principles may be more relevant for some projects than others.